Project #: BCOM 2023 - \_\_\_\_\_

## Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score
Section A – Information about you	
Name of applicant & co-applicant complete. [see Q. A1-4]  Yes-1  No-0	/1
2. Two pieces of ID included [see Q-A5]	/2
3. Identifies the years of existence: The request identifies benefits [see Q-A.7] Yes-1 No-0	/1
4. Identifies partners or collaborations: The request identifies any partners or potential collaborations [see Q-A.8]  Yes-1  No-0	/1
5. Identifies previous experience in organizing activities and lists them: [see Q-A.9] Yes-1 No-0	/1
6. Identifies volunteers involved in project: [see Q-A.9] Yes-2 No-0	/2
SECTION B: INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR PROJECT	
7. Project Description: Effectively identifies need and its importance to the member [see Q-B.2] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
<ul> <li>8. Goals of the project: Identifies clear goals [see Q-B.6]</li> <li>0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support</li> <li>3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear</li> <li>5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member</li> </ul>	/5
9. Identifies benefits to members of Chippewa: [see Q-B.7] Yes-1 No-0	/1
<ul> <li>10. CCP Alignment: The request identifies CCP strategies [see Q-B.8]</li> <li>0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support</li> <li>3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear</li> <li>5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member</li> </ul>	/5
11. Project beneficiaries: Identifies individuals/groups that will benefit [see Q-B.9} Yes-1 No-0	/1
SECTION C: INFORMATION ON FINANCES & BUDGET	
12. Matching Funds to date: Identifies sources of funds raised and/or matching funds [Q-C1&2]: 0- No funds raised to date 3- minimum of 5% of value of project has been raised already 5- over 10% of budget has been fundraised to date	/5
13. Proof of Matching Funds provided: [Q-C1&2]: Yes-2 No-0	/2
<ul> <li>14. Has activities planned in future for raising additional funds (Sect. 6.2 Trust agreement [Q-C3]</li> <li>0- no additional fundraising is planned</li> <li>3 - one activity is planned to fundraise</li> <li>5 - two or more activities are planned to fundraise</li> </ul>	/5
15. Expenses align with request and provide a breakdown of costs that include quotes. [Q-C4] 0 – Budget is not provided 1– Budget line items are included but do not describe specific costs 3 - Budget line items with some details 5- Budget aligns with project description, includes all activities, and provides details of breakdown	/5
Page 1 Sub-total	/42

Project #: BCOM 2023 - \_\_\_\_\_

## Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria			Score
16. Supporting documentation provided, including quotes [Q-C4]	Yes-2	No-0	/2
17. Identifies how it will recognize Trust contributions: [see Q-B.9]	Yes-1	No-0	/1
SECTION D: Work Plan			
<ul> <li>18. Work Plan: The request identifies a clear work plan [see Q-D]</li> <li>0 – no rationale or reason provided in work plan</li> <li>3 – some information provided, but unclear</li> <li>5 – workplan clearly identifies outcomes, activities and timelines</li> </ul>			/5
E: CHECKLIST			
19. The Group is recognized by Chief & Council on list or by letter.	Yes-2	No-0	/2
20. The applicant has provided letter(s) of support.	Yes-2	No-0	/2
Project Intangibles			
21. This project will provide a story for the community and address an urgent me 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	mber's nee	eds	/5
Signed Declaration			
23. The applicant has signed off on the application consent & declaration.	Yes-1	No-0	/1
Overall Score Application			
24. Trustees will score the overall application based on content and quality 1-10 – on a scale of 1-10 score the overall rating of the application.			/10
	Page 2 S	Sub-total	/28
	Page 1 Sub-total		/42
Total Score		/70	
I have reviewed the application for funds from the Thunderbird Trust and color larged this project with a score of:  This score is not to be considered finite and is to be used as a relative score project with other projects received in this call. Given another set of circum rated against different projects, this project may score differently. For that assessment and rating can only be used during the assessment of the Call 2022.  I declare that I have completed this review and will abide by the overall rest trustees' ratings provided my score is truly and accurately reflected in that	re to comp nstances a reason, th for Propo	pare this and nis sals up of all	
Signed By:			
Signed By:  Name of Trustee or designate  Signature  Date			