

Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score
Section A – Information about you	
1. Name of applicant & co-applicant complete. [see Q. A1-4] Yes-1 No-0	/1
2. Two pieces of ID included [see Q-A5] <input type="checkbox"/> 1 st piece of ID -1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 nd piece of ID - 1	/2
3. Identifies the years of existence: The request identifies benefits [see Q-A.7] Yes-1 No-0	/1
4. Identifies partners or collaborations: The request identifies any partners or potential collaborations [see Q-A.8] Yes-1 No-0	/1
5. Identifies experience: The request identifies previous experience in organizing activities and lists them [see Q-A.9] Yes-1 No-0	/1
6. Identifies volunteers: The request identifies volunteers involved in the project [see Q-A.9] Yes-2 No-0	/2
SECTION B: INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR PROJECT	
7. Project Description: The request description effectively identifies why the request is needed and its importance to the member [see Q-B.2] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
8. Goals of the project: The request identifies clear goals [see Q-B.6] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
9. Identifies benefits to members of Chippewa: The request identifies benefits [see Q-B.7] Yes-1 No-0	/1
10. CCP Alignment: The request identifies CCP strategies [see Q-B.8] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
Page 1 Sub-total	/24

Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score
11. Project beneficiaries: The request identifies the individuals and groups that will benefit [see Q-B.9] Yes-1 No-0	/1
SECTION C: INFORMATION ON FINANCES & BUDGET	
12. Matching Funds to date The request identifies sources of funds raised and/or matching funds from other sources [Q-C1&2]: 0- No funds raised to date 3- minimum of 5% of value of project has been raised already 5- over 10% of budget has been fundraised to date	/5
13. Proof of Matching Funds The request provides proof of matching funds from other sources [Q-C1&2]: Yes-2 No-0	/2
14. This project has activities planned in the future for raising additional funding as per Sect. 6.2 of TT agreement [Q-C3] 0- no additional fundraising is planned 3 - one activity is planned to fundraise 5 - two or more activities are planned to fundraise	/5
15. Expense costs align with request description and provide a detailed breakdown of specific costs that include quotes where possible. [Q-C4] 0 – Budget is not provided 1– Budget line items are included but do not describe specific costs 3 - Budget line items with some details 5- Budget aligns with project description, includes all aspects of activities, and provides details of breakdown of costs	/5
16. Supporting documentation provided, including quotes [Q-C4] Yes-2 No-0	/2
17. Recognition of Trust contributions: The request identifies how it will recognize Trust contributions [see Q-B.9] Yes-1 No-0	/1
SECTION D: Work Plan	
18. Work Plan: The request identifies a clear work plan [see Q-D] 0 – no rationale or reason provided in work plan 3 – some information provided, but unclear 5 – workplan clearly identifies outcomes, activities and timelines	/5
Page 2 Sub-total	/26

Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score
E: CHECKLIST	
19. The Group is recognized by Chief & Council on list or by letter. Yes-2 No-0	/2
20. The applicant has provided letter(s) of support. Yes-2 No-0	/2
Project Intangibles	
21. This project will provide an excellent story for the community and address an urgent member's needs 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
Signed Declaration	
23. The applicant has signed off on the application consent & declaration. Yes-1 No-0	/1
Overall Score Application	
24. Trustees will score the overall application based on content and quality 1-10 – on a scale of 1-10 score the overall rating of the application.	/10
Page 3 Sub-total	/20
Page 2 Sub-total	/26
Page 1 Sub-total	/24
Total Score	/70

Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score	
<u>Project Assessment Declaration</u>		
I have reviewed the application for funds from the Thunderbird Trust and confirm that		
I rated this project with a score of: _____Points		
<p>This score is not to be considered finite and is to be used as a relative score to compare this project with other projects received in this call. Given another set of circumstances and rated against different projects, this project may score differently. For that reason, this assessment and rating can only be used during the assessment of the Call for Proposals 2022.</p>		
<p><i>I declare that I have completed this review and will abide by the overall results made up of all trustees' ratings provided my score is truly and accurately reflected in that assessment.</i></p>		
Signed By:		
_____ Name of Trustee or designate	_____ Signature	_____ Date